

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/582,944 | 05/18/2007 | Masaya Yamamoto | 062528 | 6579 |
| | 7590 10/19/201 N. HATTORI, DANIEI | | EXAM | IINER |
| 1250 CONNEC | 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW ANDREWS, MICHAEL | | | , MICHAEL |
| SUITE 700 WASHINGTO | N. DC 20036 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 2834 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/19/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/582,944 | YAMAMOTO ET AL. | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | MICHAEL ANDREWS | 2834 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the co | orrespondence ad | ldress | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| . ☒ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of N (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which pla | aces the | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper rep | ly, to the non- | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | 5). s received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Tr | ransmission dated | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on | | | | | |
| after the expiration of the period for reply. | _(with a Certificate of Mailing of Trans | sillission dated | | | |
| (b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| . The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity u | nder 37 CFR | | |
| . The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court r of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. | | | | | |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

/M. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 2834 /Quyen Leung/ SPE, Art Unit 2834

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner telephoned the Applicant's representative on October 13, 2010 to determine the status of the application. A voicemail message was left, and the response received the same day indicated the application had been abandoned.

PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)